



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,439	07/01/2003	Edward G. Parent	701-230	1934
7590 12/06/2004			EXAMINER	
Sheldon Palmo			CORDERO GARCIA, MARCELA M	
c/o Galvin & Palmer 630 Third Avenue			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY 10017			1654	
			DATE MAILED: 12/06/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

3	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/611,439	PARENT ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Marcela M Cordero Garcia	1654					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under I	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application	l.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
· _	8) Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
		. (1) (0)					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
application from the International Burea	•	in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	·						
ii .							
Attachment(s)	A						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-6, drawn to a first intermediate, classified, e.g., in class 532, subclass 61.
- II. Claims 7-13, drawn to a first conjugate, classified, e.g., in class 530, subclass 402.
- III. Claims 14-19, drawn to a method of preparing the first intermediate, classified, e.g., in class 532, subclass 61.
- IV. Claims 20-26, drawn to a method of preparing the first conjugate, classified, e.g., in class 530, subclass 402.
- V. Claim 27, drawn to a method of treating an animal, classified, e.g., in class 530, subclass 402.
- VI. Claim 28, drawn to a second intermediate, classified, e.g., in class 532, subclass 61.
- VII. Claims 29-31, drawn to a second conjugate, classified, e.g., in class 530, subclass 402.

The Inventions are distinct, each from the other, because of the following reasons:

Art Unit: 1654

Inventions I, II, VI and VII are different and distinct, each from the other, because they comprise one or more structural features that are mutually exclusive from the structural features of the compounds of the other groups.

Inventions III and IV are directed to different inventions which are not connected in design, operation, or effect. These methods are independent since they are not disclosed as capable of use together, they have different modes of operation, they have different functions, and they have different effects. One would not have to practice the various methods at the same time to practice just one method alone.

Inventions I and II (distinct products) and Inventions III and IV (distinct methods) are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the products of Inventions I and II may be also be made using, e.g., different intermediates and/or synthetic steps as those instantly claimed in Inventions III and IV.

Inventions II (product) and V (process of use) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the conjugates of Invention II may also be used for, e.g., in vitro research and/or enzymatic assays.

Art Unit: 1654

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1654

In addition, the instant application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention, e.g. the species disclosed in the specification (see pages 2-4 and examples).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species [i.e., elect an intermediate (claims 1-6 and 28) and a conjugate (claims 7-13 and 29-31) with all variables: n, P, biologically active material, etc., fully assigned] for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 1, 7, 14 and 28 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Art Unit: 1654

Page 6

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Marcela M Cordero Garcia whose telephone number is

(571) 272-2939. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marula M Corden Gruin
Marcela M Cordero Garcia

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1654

MMCG 11/04

CHRISTOPHER R. TATE PRIMARY EXAMINER